

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Devoir Surveillé N° 5

f
E

**Il sera tenu compte, dans l'appréciation des copies,
de la précision des raisonnements ainsi que la clarté
de la rédaction.**

PCSI

Questions de Cours

Cours



Exercice 1

Soit E l'espace vectoriel $E = \mathbb{R}^3$, et considérez les deux parties :

$$F = \{(x, y, z) \in E / x + y + z = 0\} \quad \text{et} \quad G = \text{Vcet}((1, 1, 1))$$

1. Each element of G has the form $\lambda(1, 1, 1) = (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. Let $u = (x, y, z), v = (x', y', z')$ be two elements of F and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $u + \lambda v = (x + \lambda x', y + \lambda y', z + \lambda z')$, on the other hand $(x + \lambda x') + (y + \lambda y') + (z + \lambda z') = x + y + z + \lambda(x' + y' + z') = 0$. Hence $u + \lambda v \in F$.
3. Determination of a basis of F :
Let $u = (x, y, z)$ be any element of F . We have $x + y + z = 0$, then $x = -y - z$, so $u = (-y - z, y, z) = y(-1, 1, 0) + z(-1, 0, 1)$. Note that $(-1, 1, 0), (-1, 0, 1) \in F$, hence $((-1, 1, 0), (-1, 0, 1))$ is a generated family of F . Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(-1, 1, 0) + \beta(-1, 0, 1) = 0$, then $(-\alpha - \beta, \alpha, \beta) = 0$, hence $\alpha = \beta = 0$. It follows that $((-1, 1, 0), (-1, 0, 1))$ is a basis of F .
4. Let $u \in F \cap G$. Since $u \in G$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $u = (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$. We have $\lambda + \lambda + \lambda = 0$ by the fact that $u \in F$. It follows that $\lambda = 0$, and $u = 0$.
5. Soit $u = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. On pose $s = x + y + z$.
 - 5.1 If $s = 0$, then $u \in F$.
 - 5.2 We have easily $(x - \frac{s}{3}, y - \frac{s}{3}, z - \frac{s}{3}) + \frac{s}{3}(1, 1, 1) = (x - \frac{s}{3} + \frac{s}{3}, y - \frac{s}{3} + \frac{s}{3}, z - \frac{s}{3} + \frac{s}{3}) = (x, y, z)$.
 - 5.3 Let $u = (x, y, z) \in E$. By the result of the previous question we have $u = (x - \frac{s}{3}, y - \frac{s}{3}, z - \frac{s}{3}) + \frac{s}{3}(1, 1, 1)$. Since $(x - \frac{s}{3} + y - \frac{s}{3} + z - \frac{s}{3}) = x + y + z - s = s - s = 0$, we have $(x - \frac{s}{3}, y - \frac{s}{3}, z - \frac{s}{3}) \in F$, on other hand $\frac{s}{3}(1, 1, 1)$. It follows that $u = (x - \frac{s}{3}, y - \frac{s}{3}, z - \frac{s}{3}) + \frac{s}{3}(1, 1, 1) \in F + G$. Thus $E = F + G = F \oplus G$.

Exercice 2

Soit $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ l'application définie par $f(x, y, z, t) = x - 2y + z - 2t$.

- 1.** Let $u = (x, y, z, t), v = (x', y', z', t') \in \mathbb{R}^4$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + \lambda v) &= f(x + \lambda x', y + \lambda y', z + \lambda z', t + \lambda t') \\ &= (x + \lambda x') - 2(y + \lambda y') + (z + \lambda z') - 2(t + \lambda t') \\ &= x - 2y + z - 2t + \lambda(x' - 2y' + z' - 2t') \\ &= f(u) + \lambda f(v) \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is linear.

- 2.** On considère les vecteurs $v_1 = (2, 1, 0, 0)$, $v_2 = (-1, 0, 1, 0)$ et $v_3 = (2, 0, 0, 1)$.
 Let $u = (x, y, z, t) \in \ker f$, then $x - 2y + z - 2t = 0$, hence $x = 2y - z + 2t$, so $u = (2y - z + 2t, y, z, t) = y(2, 1, 0, 0) + z(-1, 0, 1, 0) + t(2, 0, 0, 1) = yv_1 + zv_2 + tv_3$. It is easy to see that $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \ker f$. Hence (v_1, v_2, v_3) is a generated family of $\ker f$. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $av_1 + bv_2 + cv_3 = 0$, then we have $(2a - b + 2c, a, b, c) = 0$, hence $a = b = c = 0$. It follows that (v_1, v_2, v_3) is free, so it is a basis of $\ker f$.
- 3.** Let $u \in \ker f \cap \text{Vect}(1, 1, 1, 1)$. We have $u \in \text{Vect}(1, 1, 1, 1)$, then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = \alpha(1, 1, 1, 1) = (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$. On the other hand we have $u \in F$, which implies that $\alpha - 2\alpha + \alpha - 2\alpha = 0$, hence $\alpha = 0$. It follows that $u = 0$.

PROBLÈME

Endomorphisme nilpotent

Soit E un espace vectoriel et $f \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ un endomorphisme de E (application linéaire de E vers E). On rappelle que $f^0 = \text{Id}_E$ et pour $n \geq 1$, $f^n = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}_{n \text{ fois}}$.

On dit que f est un endomorphisme nilpotent s'il existe un entier naturel $q \in \mathbb{N}$ tel que $f^q = 0$.

Première partie :

Exemples d'endomorphismes nilpotents

- 1.** Soit $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ l'application définie par $f(x, y, z) = (2y, 3z, 0)$.

- 1.1** Let $u = (x, y, z), v = (x', y', z') \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + \lambda v) &= f(x + \lambda x', y + \lambda y', z + \lambda z') \\ &= (2(y + \lambda y'), 3(z + \lambda z')) \\ &= (2y, 3z, 0) + \lambda(2y', 3z', 0) \\ &= f(u) + \lambda f(v) \end{aligned}$$

1.2 $f^2(x, y, z) = f(f(x, y, z)) = f(2y, 3z, 0) = (2(3z), 3 \times 0, 0) = (6z, 0, 0)$.

1.3 $f^3(x, y, z) = f(f^2(x, y, z)) = f(3z, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$, hence $f^3 = 0$, so f is nilpotent.

- 2.** Soit $f : \mathbb{K}_n[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_n[X]$ l'application définie par $f(P) = P'$.

- 2.1** Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}_n[X]$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. We have $f(P + \lambda Q) = (P + \lambda Q)' = P' + \lambda Q' = f(P) + \lambda f(Q)$. Hence f is linear.

- 2.2** Let $P \in \mathbb{K}_n[X]$. Since $\deg P \leq n$, we have $f^{n+1}(P) = P^{(n+1)} = 0$, hence $f^{n+1} = 0$. Thus f is nilpotent.

Deuxième partie :

Indice de nilpotence

Dans cette partie f est un endomorphisme nilpotent de E .

3. Soit $A = \{k \in \mathbb{N} / f^k = 0\}$.

- 3.1 Since f is nilpotent, there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^q = 0$. It follows that $q \in A$, so A is not empty.
- 3.2 A is a non empty subset of \mathbb{N} , hence it has a smallest element, say p .
- 3.3 We have $p \in A$, hence $f^p = 0$. On other hand $p-1 \notin A$ (since p is the smallest element of A), which implies $f^{p-1} \neq 0$.

- 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Id}_E - f) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^k \right) &= \text{Id}_E \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^k \right) - f \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^k \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^{k+1} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^k - \sum_{k=1}^p f^k \\
 &= \text{Id}_E + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} f^k - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} f^k - f^p \\
 &= \text{Id}_E
 \end{aligned}$$

5. Denote $g = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^k$. By the previous question we have $(\text{Id}_E - f)g = \text{Id}_E$. By the same argument one can show that $g(\text{Id}_E - f) = \text{Id}_E$, that is $(\text{Id}_E - f) \circ g = \text{Id}_E$ and $g \circ (\text{Id}_E - f) = \text{Id}_E$. Thus $\text{Id}_E - f$ is an isomorphism and $(\text{Id}_E - f)^{-1} = g = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f^k$.

Troisième partie : Cas d'indice de nilpotence égale à 3

Dans cette partie f est un endomorphisme nilpotent de E d'indice de nilpotence égale à 3 c'est-à-dire $f^3 = 0$ et $f^2 \neq 0$.

- 6. Let y be an element of $\text{Im } f^2$, then there exists $x \in E$ such that $y = f^2(x)$, so $f(y) = f(f^2(x)) = f^3(x) = 0$, hence $y \in \ker f$. It follows that $\text{Im } f^2 \subseteq \ker f$.
- 7. Clearly, since $f^2 \neq 0$, there exists $v \in E$ such that $f^2(v) \neq 0$.
- 8. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$, such that $av + bf(v) + cf^2(v) = 0$ (\star). Applying f^2 to (\star), we get $af^2(v) + bf^3(v) + cf^4(v) = 0$, so $af^2(v) = 0$, hence $a = 0$ (since $f^2(v) \neq 0$). Now (\star) become $bf(v) + cf^2(v) = 0$. Applying f to (\star) and this yields $bf^2(v) = 0$, it follows that $b = 0$. Finally we get $af(v) = 0$ (from (\star)), so $af^2(v) = 0$, hence $a = 0$. Thus the family $(v, f(v), f^2(v))$ is free.
- 9. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $a\text{Id}_E + bf + cf^2 = 0$, that is, for all $x \in E$, $ax + bf(x) + cf^2(x) = 0$. In particular $av + bf(v) + cf^2(v) = 0$. Since the family $(v, f(v), f^2(v))$ is free, we have $a = b = c = 0$.
- 10. If $x \in \ker f$, then $f(x) = 0$, so $f^2(x) = f(f(x)) = f(0) = 0$. Hence $\ker f \subseteq \ker f^2$.
- 11. We have $f^2(f(v)) = f^3(v) = 0$, hence $f(v) \in \ker f^2$. On other hand $f(f(v)) = f^2(v) \neq 0$, which implies that $f(v) \notin \ker f$. Thus $\ker f \neq \ker f^2$.

END